IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 23 OF 2006

Mrs. Seema Shreedhar Gokhale ... Petitioner

V/s

Mrs. Kavita Rege & ors. ... Respondents

Mr. D.A. Patil, A.G.P. for the petitioner.

Mr. A.A. Garge i/by T.S. Patwardhan for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM: A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.

DATED: 28TH JULY, 2006

P.C.:

1. The learned A.G.P. points out that appropriate order has been passed by the competent authority in terms of direction contained in order dated 28.2.2005. As the direction given by the Tribunal stands complied with on account of passing of the aforesaid order by the competent authority, though belatedly, it is not necessary to precipicate the matter any further. Statement is made by the learned A.G.P. on the instructions of respondent No.5, who is present before the Court. There is no reason to doubt the correctness of this statement. In this view of the matter, the

petition is disposed of.

2. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner points out that the amount of back wages is not fully paid. This statement is countered by the counsel for the management by pointing out that back wages upto November, 2005 has already been deposited in Court. It will be open to the petitioner to withdraw the said amount. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the deposit of back wages upto November, 2005 is not full compliance of the direction of the Tribunal.

3. It is not possible to accept this submission. The management has already taken up the issue with the competent authority which they have to resolve. In the event the competent authority holds that the management is required to pay further amount towards back wages, the management assures through counsel that such direction will be complied with in reasonable time. That assurance is accepted. Accordingly the order passed earlier stands.

4. Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

.....